arXiv:1701.07326v2 [math.AG] 26 Jan 2017

ARITHMETIC BEHAVIOUR OF FROBENIUS SEMISTABILITY OF SYZYGY BUNDLES FOR PLANE TRINOMIAL CURVES

V. TRIVEDI

ABSTRACT. Here we consider the set of bundles $\{V_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ associated to the plane trinomial curves $k[x,y,z]/(h)$. We prove that the Frobenius semistability behaviour of the reduction mod p of V_n is a function of the congruence class of p modulo $2\lambda_h$ (an integer invariant associated to h).

As one of the consequences of this, we prove that if V_n is semistable in char 0 then its reduction mod p is strongly semistable, for p in a Zariski dense set of primes. Moreover, for any given finitely many such semistable bundles V_n, there is a common Zariski dense set of such primes.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we discuss the problems regarding Frobenius semistability behaviour of a vector bundle on a nonsingular projective curve.

Recall that a vector bundle V on a nonsingular projective curve X is *semistable* if for any subbundle $W \subset V$, we have $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$ where $\mu(W) = \deg\, W/\text{rank}\, W$. If V is not semistable then it has the unique *Harder-Narasimhan filtration*

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_n = V \quad \text{such that} \quad \mu(V_1) > \mu(V_2/V_1) \cdots > \mu(V/V_{n-1}),$$

where V_i/V_{i-1} is semistable. In this case one defines $\mu_{max}(V) = \mu(V_1)$ and $\mu_{min}(V) = \mu(V/V_{n-1})$. Though in characteristic 0, the pull back of a semistable vector bundle under a finite map is semistable, the same is not always true in positive characteristics. On the other hand, the definition of semistablity implies that, if $F : X \longrightarrow X$ is the Frobenius morphism, and if F^*V is semistable, then so is V. However, if V is a semistable and such that F^*V is not semistable then by the results of Shepherd-Barron [SB] (Corollary 2^p) and X.Sun [S] (Theorem 3.1) there is a bound on $\mu_{max}(F^*V) - \mu_{min}(F^*V)$ in terms of the genus of the curve and the rank of the vector bundle V.

We say a bundle V is strongly semistable if $F^{s*}V$ is semistable for all $s \geq 0$, where F^s is the s-th iterated Frobenius. Recall that unlike semistable bundles, strongly semistable bundles in char $p > 0$ behave like semistable bundles in char 0, in many respects. On the other hand there is a result of Langer (Theorem 2.7 of [L]), which says that if V is a vector bundle (in a fixed char p) then there is $s_0 >> 0$ such that the HN filtration of $F^{s_0*}V$ is the *strongly semistable HN filtration*, *i.e.*, there is $s_0 >> 0$ such that the HN filtration of $F^{s_0*}V$ consists of strongly semistable subquotients.

Now, suppose X is a nonsingular curve defined over a field of characteristic 0 and V is a vector bundle on X and if V_p denotes the "reduction mod p" of V, then reduction mod p of the HN (Harder-Narasimhan) filtration of V is the HN Filtration of V_p, for $p >> 0$. This is a consequence of the openness of the semistability condition (see [Mar]). However such an openness condition does not hold for Frobenius semistability.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D40, 14H60, 14J60, 13H15.

Key words and phrases. Taxicab distance, Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity, characteristic 0, semistability, Frobenius semistability and strong semistability, Harder-Narasimahan filtration.

For example, let $V = Syz(x, y, z)$ be the syzygy bundle on $X = \text{Proj } R$, where $R = k[x, y, z]/(x^4 + y^4 + z^4)$ of char $p \geq d^2$ then, by [HM] and [T1] it follows that

$$\begin{array}{lll} p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8 & \Longrightarrow & F^{s*}V \quad \text{is semistable for all} \quad s \geq 0 \\ p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8 & \Longrightarrow & V \quad \text{is semistable and} \quad F^*V \quad \text{has the HN filtration} \\ & & \mathcal{L} \subset F^*V \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^*V) + 2. \end{array}$$

Note that if V (in characteristic 0) has semistable reduction mod p for infinitely many primes p then it is semistable in char 0 to begin with, due to the openness of the semistability property.

We look at the following questions.

(1) If V is a semistable vector bundle on X defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ then is V_p (the reduction mod p) strongly semistable for p in a Zariski dense set of $\mathbb{Z}$?
(2) If s_0 is a number such that $F^{s_0*}V_p$ has strong HN filtration, then can one describe such an s_0 in terms of the invariants of the curve X, for all but finitely many p?
(3) Is the Frobenius semistablility behaviour (*i.e.*, the minimal number s_0 and the instability degree $\mu_{max}(F^{s_0}V_p) - \mu_{min}(F^{s_0}V_p)$) a function of the congruence class of p (modulo) N, for some integer invariant N of the curve X, for all but finitely many p? (instead, we may ask if for some finite Galois extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$, the Frobenius semistability of V_p depends only on the splitting behaviour of p in $\mathcal{O}_K$ (the ring of integers), for all but finitely many p).

Here, in this paper, we look at the bundles which arise from the syzygy bundles W_n of trinomial plane curves C in $\mathbb{P}^2$, defined by the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow W_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the third map is $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \to (s_1x^n, s_2y^n, s_3z^n)$. The bundle W_n is alternatively denoted by $Syz(x^n, y^n, z^n)$.

Recall that if V is a rank 2 vector bundle on a nonsingular projective curve X defined over a field of characteristic $p > 0$ then either (a) V is strongly semistable, *i.e.*, $F^{s*}V$ is semistable for every $s \geq 0$, or (b) for some $s \geq 0$, $F^{s*}V$is not semistable, and hence it has the nontrivial HN filtration, namely $\mathcal{L} \subset F^{s*}V$ such that $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle with $\mu(\mathcal{L}) > \mu(F^{s*}V)$. Note that for such an s, the HN filtration of $F^{s*}V$ is the strong filtration and $\mu_{max}(F^{s*}V) - \mu_{min}(F^{s*}V) = 2[\mu(\mathcal{L}) - \mu(F^{s*}V)]$.

In this paper we answer the above questions and generalize the above result of Monsky for the set of vector bundles

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S_{st} & = & \{V_n \mid V_n = \pi^* W_n, \quad \pi : X \longrightarrow C \quad \text{the normalization of} \quad C, \\ & & W_n \quad \text{is a syzygy bundle of } C, \quad C \in \{\text{trinomial curves}\}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

where by a trinomial curve C we mean $C = \text{Proj } k[x, y, z]/(h)$, for a homogeneous irreducible trinomial h. If a trinomial curve C is nonsingular then $V_n = W_n$.

Let h be a trinomial plane curve of degree d, then following Monsky [Mo2], it is either *irregular* or *regular* (see beginning of section (2)). For irregular trinomials, the following theorem settles all the above questions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let h be a irregular trinomial of degree d and let r be the multiplicity of the irregular point (note $r \geq d/2$). Then for all $n \geq 1$,*

(1) *$r = d/2$ implies that the bundle V_n is strongly semistable and*
(2) *$r > d/2$ implies that the bundle V_n is not semistable to begin with. Moreover it has the HN filtration $\mathcal{L} \subset V_n$ such that $\mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(V_n) + (2r - d)^2n^2/4d$.*

In particular the semistability behaviour of V_n is independent of the characteristic p, (equivalently one can say that it depends on the single congruence class $p \equiv 1 \pmod 1$).

Given a regular trinomial h, there are associated positive integers λ and λ_h (see Notations 3.1). Following is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.2. *Let h be a regular trinomial of degree d then for given $n \geq 1$, there is a well defined set theoretic map*

$$\Delta_{h,n} : \frac{(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*}{\{1,-1\}} \longrightarrow \left\{0, \frac{1}{\lambda_h}, \frac{2}{\lambda_h}, \ldots, \frac{\lambda_h - 1}{\lambda_h}\right\} \times \{0,1,2,\ldots,\phi(2\lambda_h)-1\} \bigcup \{(1,\infty)\}$$

such that, given $p \geq \max\{n, d^2\}$, we have

$$p \equiv \pm l \pmod{2\lambda_h} \text{ and } \Delta_{h,n}(l) = (1,\infty) \implies V_n \text{ is strongly semistable and}$$

$$p \equiv \pm l \,(mod\, 2\lambda_h) \text{ and } \Delta_{h,n}(l) = (t,s) \implies s \text{ is the least integer such that } F^{s*}V_n \text{ is not semistable}$$

$$\text{and } F^{s*}V_n \text{ has the HN filtration } \mathcal{L} \subset F^{s*}V_n \quad \text{with} \quad \mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^{s*}V_n) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(1-t).$$

The existence of such a map has several consequences:

(1) The Frobenius semistability behaviour of V_n, for a regular trinomial, is a function on the congruence class of $\pm p \pmod{2\lambda_h}$ (which are atmost $\phi(2\lambda_h)/2$ in number).

In Section 4, we compute $\Delta_{h,n}(1)$, for every h and do more elaborate computations for symmetric (Definition 4.2) trinomials.

(2) In particular we deduce that (Theorem 5.6) if $p \geq \max\{n, d^2\}$ then a semistable bundle V_n is always strongly semistable for $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2\lambda_h}$, hence given a finite subset $\{V_{n_1}, \ldots, V_{n_s}\}$ of semistable bundles of S_{st} (see (1.1)), the set of primes p, for which every V_{n_i} is strongly semistable, is a Zariski dense set (Corollary 5.7).

Moreover V_1 over a regular trinomial is always semistable and hence

(i) for a given finite set of syzygy bundles V_1 of regular trinomial curves, there is a Zariski dense set of primes, for which each of the bundles is strongly semistable. On the other hand

(ii) for any symmetric trinomial h of degree $d \geq 4$ and $d \neq 5$, we show that there is a Zariski dense set of primes for which V_1 is not strongly semistable.

(3) The existence of such a map $\Delta_{h,n}$ also implies that if there is one prime $p \geq \max\{n, d^2\}$ such that V_n is not strongly semistable then (i) there is a Zariski dense set of primes for which V_n fails to be strongly semistable, and infact (ii) (Theorem 5.8) there is a Zariski dense set of primes for which the first Frobenius pull back F^*V_n is not semistable.

(4) Since either (i) V_n is strongly semistable or (ii) $F^{s*}V_n$ is not semistable for some $0 \leq s < \phi(2\lambda_h)$, to check the strongly semistability of V_n, (*i.e., to check the semistability of $F^{s*}V_n$, for every $s \geq 0$*), it is enough to check that $F^{s*}V_n$ is semistable for $s = \phi(2\lambda_h)$.

It would be interesting to know if such properties as in (1)-(4) hold in greater generality.

Moreover, because of the bound on s (Theorem 3.5 and Remark 3.7), for any given explicit trinomial curve Proj R given by h, we can compute $\Delta_{h,n}(l)$ (see Remark 3.8). Therefore for any $p \geq \{n, d^2\}$ ($p = \text{char } R$) we get an effective algorithm to compute $e_{HK}(R, (x^n, y^n, z^n))$ and the HN slopes for all the Frobenius pull backs of V_n.

We compute some concrete examples. By Corollary 5.9, if h is symmetric trinomial of degree d then it is trivial to check if the bundle V_n is semistable or not, for all $p \equiv \pm 1 (\text{mod}(2\lambda_h)$, $p > \max\{n, d^2\}$.

We give some examples, where V_n need not be strongly semistable and have complicated Frobenius semistability behaviour. In particular we look at the Klein d-curve, $h = x^{d-1}y + y^{d-1}z + z^{d-1}x$. Let $d \geq 4$ be even then Monsky's computation in [Mo2] gives

$$p \equiv \pm(d-1) \pmod{2\lambda_h} \implies \begin{array}{l} V_1 \text{ is semistable and } F^*V_1 \text{ is not semistable} \\ \text{such that } \mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^*V_1) + (d^2 - 3d)/2 \end{array}.$$

In Corollary (4.7), we prove, for $3.2^{m-2} < d-1 < 3.2^{m-1}$ if

$$p \equiv \lambda_h \pm 2 \pmod{2\lambda_h} \implies \begin{array}{l} F^{m-1}V_1 \text{ is semistable and } F^{m*}V_1 \text{ is not semistable} \\ \text{and } \mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^{m*}V_1) + (d-2)\left(2[d-1-3.2^{m-2}]\right) + 2. \end{array}$$

In this paper we crucially used an old result of Monsky for plane trinomial curves which involves the notion of *taxicab distance* (introduced in [H] and [HM]):

Theorem (Monsky) (see Theorem 2.3 for a more precise version) Let $R = k[x, y, z]/(h)$, where h is a regular trinomial of degree d over a field of char $k = p > 0$. Then

$$e_{HK}(R, (x^n, y^n, z^n)) = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}d}\left(\frac{\lambda(1 - t_{pn})}{2}\right)^2,$$

where, either $s = \infty$, or $s < \infty$ and $(1 - t_{pn}) > 0$ with $t_{pn} = \mathrm{Td}(p^s tn)$.

We combine this with the result from [T1] which gave a dictionary between $e_{HK}(R, (x^n, y^n, z^n))$ and the Frobenius semistability behaviour of the syzygy bundle V_n.

Theorem (see Theorem 5.1 for the more precise version) of [T1]): If $p \geq \max\{n, d^2\}$ then, $s = \infty$ implies that bundle V_n is strongly semistable. If $0 \leq s < \infty$ then it is the least number such that $F^{s*}V_n$ is not semistable. Moreover, for the HN filtration of

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L} \subset F^{s*}(V_n), \text{ we have } \mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^{s*}(V_n) + \frac{\lambda(1 - t_{pn})}{2}.$$

To prove the main theorem 3.5, for a regular trinomial h, we define a set $S_h \subset (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^3$, which is a disjoint union of eight sets $\{T_{ijk}\}_{ijk}$.

We consider the set $L_{odd} = \{(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3\}$, (which was introduced in ([H] and [Mo2]) as the disjoint union of four sets $\{L^{\delta}_{odd}\}_{\delta}$.

For each δ and l, $n \geq 1$, we define a map (Lemma 3.4) $f^{\delta}_{l,n} : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^3$ and characterize the numbers s and t_{pn} (given as in the above theorem of Monsky) in terms of the set $\bigcup_{\delta}(\mathrm{Im}\ (f^{\delta}_{l,n}) \cap S_h)$: The integer s is the minimum element of the set and if $s \in \mathrm{Im}\ (f^{\delta}_{l,n}) \cap T_{ijk}$ (δ and (i, j, k) will be unique with this property), then $f^{\delta}_{l,n}(s)$ and (i, j, k) determine t_{pn} for all $p \equiv \pm l \pmod{2\lambda_h}$.

The very definition of $f^{\delta}_{l,n}$ implies that the map factors through $\mathbb{Z}/\phi(2\lambda_h)\mathbb{Z}$ and $f^{\delta}_{l,n} = f^{\delta}_{l+2\lambda_h,n}$. This gives a well defined map $\Delta_{h,n}$ as in Theorem 3.5.

As a corollary, for all $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2\lambda_h}$, we get a simple expression for all trinomials h of degree d (Corollaries 6.1 (1) and 6.2 (2):

$$\begin{aligned} e_{HK}(k[x, y, z]/(h), (x, y, z)) &= 3d/4 \quad \text{if } h \text{ is a regular trinomial,} \\ &= 3d/4 + \tfrac{(2r-d)^2}{4d}, \text{ if } h \text{ is a irregular trinomial,} \\ &\quad \text{where} \quad h \quad \text{has the point of multiplicity} \quad r \geq d/2. \end{aligned}$$

In Corollary 6.4, when h is a Klein d-curve defined over a field of char $p > 0$ such that $p \equiv \lambda_h \pm 2 \pmod{2\lambda_h}$, (as expected from the discussion above) we generate a more complex one.

Remark 1.3. As stated earlier, for the Fermat quartic, the function $\Delta_{h,1}$ is completely known by the result of [HM]. For the Fermat curve ($h = x^d + y^d + z^d$) and Klein d-curve ($h = x^{d-1}y + y^{d-1}z + z^{d-1}x$) Monsky [Mo2] has computed $\Delta_{h,1}(d-1)$, for even d, and $\Delta_{h,1}(\lambda \pm (2d-2))$ for odd $d > 5$ where h is a Klein d-curve.

Questions about Frobenius semistablity of V_n for the Fermat curve are also studied extensively in works of Brickmann-Kaid, Brenner, Kaid, Stäbler etc. (see the recent paper [BK] and references given there).

2. Preliminaries

Let $h \in k[x, y, z]$ be a homogeneous irreducible trinomial of degree d, *i.e.*, $h = M_1 + M_2 + M_3$ where M'_i are monomials of degree d.

By Lemma 2.2 of [Mo2], one can divide such an h in two types:

(1) h is 'irregular' if one or more of the points $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$ $(0, 0, 1)$ of $\mathbb{P}^2$ has multiplicity $\geq d/2$ on the plane curve h,

(2) h is 'regular', *i.e.*, the exponents e_1 of x in M_1, e_2 of y in M_2 and e_3 of z in M_3, respectively, are all $> d/2$.

Moreover any regular h is equivalent (*i.e.*, one equation is obtained from the other equation by some permutation of x, y and z) to one of the following

(a) Type (I): $h = x^{a_1}y^{a_2} + y^{b_1}z^{b_2} + z^{c_1}x^{c_2}$, where $a_1, b_1, c_1 > d/2$, (here $e_1 = a_1$, $e_2 = b_1$ and $e_3 = c_1$).

(b) Type (II): $h = x^d + x^{a_1}y^{a_2}z^{a_3} + y^bz^c$, a_2, $c > d/2$, (here $e_1 = d$, $e_2 = a_2$ and $e_3 = c$).

Given a regular trinomial h, Monsky defines a set of positive integers $\{\alpha, \beta, \nu, \lambda\}$ as follows: $\alpha = e_1 + e_2 - d$, $\beta = e_1 + e_3 - d$ and $\nu = e_2 + e_3 - d$. Moreover $\lambda = \frac{1}{d}\det(A)$, where A is a 3×3 matrix formed from the exponents of x, y and z in M_1, M_2 and M_3.

Notations 2.1. In particular, given a regular trinomial h, we can associate positive integers $\alpha, \beta, \nu, \lambda > 0$ as follows:

(1) Type (I) $h = x^{a_1}y^{a_2} + y^{b_1}z^{b_2} + z^{c_1}x^{c_2}$, we denote

$$\alpha = a_1 + b_1 - d,\ \beta = a_1 + c_1 - d,\ \nu = b_1 + c_1 - d,\ \lambda = a_1b_1 + a_2c_2 - b_1c_2.$$

(2) Type (II) $h = x^d + x^{a_1}y^{a_2}z^{a_3} + y^bz^c$, we denote

$$\alpha = a_2, \beta = c, \nu = a_2 + c - d \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = a_2c - a_3b.$$

Moreover we denote

$$t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) = (\alpha/\lambda, \beta/\lambda, \nu/\lambda).$$

Definition 2.2. We recall the following definition given in [HM] and [Mo2], where $p = \text{char } k > 0$: Let $L_{odd} = \{u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid \sum_i u_i \text{ odd}\}$. For any $u \in L_{odd}$ and for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 1$, the *taxicab distance* between the triples $p^stn = (p^st_1n, p^st_2n, p^st_3n)$, and u is $\text{Td}(p^stn, u) = \sum_i |p^st_in - u_i|$.

They define $\delta^*(tn) = p^{-s}(1 - \text{Td}(p^stn, u))$, and s is the smallest integer such that $\text{Td}(p^stn, u) < 1$, for some $u \in L_{odd}$. If there is no such pair then they define $\delta^*(tn) = 0$.

Following is the crucial Theorem 2.3 of [Mo2]

Theorem 2.3. *Let $R = k[x, y, z]/(h)$, where h is a regular trinomial of degree d. Then*

$$e_{HK}(R, (x^n, y^n, z^n)) = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4d}\left[\delta^*(tn)\right]^2 = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4dp^{2s}}\left(1 - Td(p^stn)\right)^2,$$

where α, β, ν and λ are as in Notations 2.1.

We extend the definition of Monsky to every integer l, as follows.

Definition 2.4. For an integer $l \geq 1$ we denote $\text{Td}(l^st) = \text{Td}(l^st, u)$, if there exists a $u \in L_{odd}$ such that $\text{Td}(l^st, u) < 1$ (note that such a u is unique if it exists).

Lemma 2.5. (1) *The triple (α, β, ν) satisfies the triangle inequalities: $\alpha < \beta + \nu$, $\beta < \alpha + \nu$ and $\nu < \beta + \alpha$,*

(2) *and $2\lambda \geq \alpha + \beta + \nu$. Moreover*

(3) *the inequality $Td(l^{-s}tn, u) < 1$ has no solution, for $s > 0$ and $l \geq n$.*

Proof. The triangle inequalities of (1) are obvious as pointed out in [Mo2].

(2) (i) Let $\alpha, \beta, \nu, \lambda$ be the associated integers to the trinomial h of type (I). Then $2\lambda < \alpha + \beta + \nu$ implies

$$b_1(a_1 - c_2 - 1) + a_2c_2 + d/2 + a_2 < c_1.$$

But $a_1 - c_2 - 1 \geq 0$. Now if (a) $a_1 - c_2 - 1 \geq 1$ then $b_1(a_1 - c_2 - 1) + a_2c_2 + d/2 + a_2 > d \geq c_1$, which is a contradiction. (b) If $a_1 - c_2 - 1 = 0$ then $a_1 = t + 1$ and $c_2 = t$, where $d = 2t + 1$ or $d = 2t$. Now

$$b_1(a_1 - c_2 - 1) + a_2c_2 + d/2 + a_2 = t^2 + d/2 + t > t + 1 = c_1,$$

which is again a contradiction.

(2) (ii) Let $\alpha, \beta, \nu, \lambda$ be associated to the trinomial h of type (II). Then

$$2\lambda < \alpha + \beta + \nu \implies a_2 c - a_3 b < a_2 + c - d/2 \implies (a_2 - 1)(c-1) - 1 + d/2 < a_3 b$$

which is not possible as $a_2 - 1 \geq a_3$, $c - 1 \geq b$ and $d \geq 2$.

This proves part (2).

(3) Let $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) = (\alpha/\lambda, \beta/\lambda, \nu/\lambda)$. Note that $s > 0$ and $l \geq n$ implies $0 \leq \lfloor t_1 n/l^s \rfloor = \lfloor \alpha n/l^s \lambda \rfloor < 1$. Let $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in L_{odd}$. Hence for u_1 odd we have $|t_1 n/l^s - u_1| = 1 - (\alpha n/l^s \lambda)$ and for u_1 even we have $|t_1 n/l^s - u_1| = \alpha n/l^s \lambda$. Similar assertions hold for u_2 and u_3. (i) If u_1, u_2 and u_3 are odd then $\mathrm{Td}(l^{-s} tn, u) = 3 - (\alpha + \beta + \nu) n/l^s \lambda$. Therefore the existence of a solution for

$$\mathrm{Td}(l^{-s} tn, u) < 1 \implies 2\lambda l^s < (\alpha + \beta + \nu) n \implies 2\lambda < (\alpha + \beta + \nu).$$

which is not possible by (2).

(ii) Suppose only one of the $u_i's$ is odd. Without loss of generality we assume that u_1 is odd then u_2 and u_3 are even. Now $\mathrm{Td}(l^s t, u) < 1$ if and only if $\beta + \nu < \alpha$, which contradicts (1). This proves the lemma. □

3. Main theorem

Throughout this section h denotes a regular trinomial.

Notations 3.1. Let $\alpha, \beta, \nu, \lambda$ integers associated to h as in Notations 2.1. Let $a = \gcd(\alpha, \beta, \nu, \lambda)$. Then we denote

$$\lambda_h = \frac{\lambda}{a},\ \alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha}{a},\ \beta_1 = \frac{\beta}{a},\ \nu_1 = \frac{\nu}{a}.$$

Definition 3.2. Let

$$\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \in \{(1,1,1), (1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1)\} \in L_{odd}. \tag{3.1}$$

For given such δ, We say $u \in L^{\delta}_{odd}$ if $u = (2v_1 + \delta_1, 2v_2 + \delta_2, 2v_3 + \delta_3)$, where v_1, v_2, v_3 are integers.

Thus we can partition L_{odd} into four disjoint sets

$$L_{odd} = \bigcup_{\delta} L^{\delta}_{odd} = L^{(1,1,1)}_{odd} \cup L^{(1,0,0)}_{odd} \cup L^{(0,1,0)}_{odd} \cup L^{(0,0,1)}_{odd}.$$

Definition 3.3. Let $R = (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^3$. We say the element $(w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ *represents* (or is the represnetative of) $w \in R$ if $(w_1, w_2, w_3) = w \pmod{(2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^3}$ such that $0 \leq w_1, w_2, w_3 < 2\lambda_h$.

We define

$$S_h = T_{000} \cup T_{100} \cup T_{010} \cup T_{001} \cup T_{110} \cup T_{011} \cup T_{101} \cup T_{111} \subset R,$$

where, for $(i, j, k) \in \{0, 1\}^3$,

$$T_{ijk} = \{w \in R \mid 2\lambda_h i + (-1)^i w_1 + 2\lambda_h j + (-1)^j w_2 + 2\lambda_h k + (-1)^k w_3 < \lambda_h, \quad (w_1, w_2, w_3) \text{ represents } w\}.$$

For example

$$T_{000} = \{w \in R \mid w_1 + w_2 + w_3 < \lambda_h, \quad \text{where } (w_1, w_2, w_3) \text{ represents } w\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$T_{100} = \{w \in R \mid 2\lambda_h - w_1 + w_2 + w_3 < \lambda_h, \quad \text{where } (w_1, w_2, w_3) \text{ represents } w\}, \quad \text{etc..}$$

Note that

$$\{\text{the representatives of } T_{ijk}\} \subset [i\lambda_h, (i+1)\lambda_h) \times [j\lambda_h, (j+1)\lambda_h) \times [k\lambda_h, (k+1)\lambda_h) \subset \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

In particular the set S_h is a disjoint union of $\{T_{ijk}\}_{i,j,k \in \{0,1\}}$.

Lemma 3.4. *For a given δ as given in Equation (3.1) and for given integers $n, l \geq 1$, let*

$$f^{\delta}_{l,n} : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \longrightarrow R = (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^3, \tag{3.2}$$

given by $s \to (l^s \alpha_1 n - \delta_1 \lambda_h, l^s \beta_1 n - \delta_2 \lambda_h, l^s \nu_1 n - \delta_3 \lambda_h) = \lambda_h (l^s tn - \delta) \pmod{(2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^3}$ be a set theoretic map. Then

(1) *for an integer* $s \geq 0$, *the element* $f^{\delta}_{l,n}(s) \in S_h$ *if and only if* $Td(l^s tn, u) < 1$ *has a solution for some* $u \in L^{\delta}_{odd}$. *Moreover,*
(2) *in this case,* $f^{\delta}_{l,n}(s)$ *determines* $Td(l^s tn, u)$*:*

$$Td(l^s tn) = 2(i+j+k) + (-1)^i \frac{w_1}{\lambda_h} + (-1)^j \frac{w_2}{\lambda_h} + (-1)^k \frac{w_3}{\lambda_h},$$

where (w_1, w_2, w_3) *is the representative of* $f^{\delta}_{l,n}(s)$ *and* (i, j, k) *is the triple such that* $f^{\delta}_{l,n}(s) \in T_{ijk}$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathrm{Td}(l^s tn, u) < 1$ has a solution for some $u \in L^{\delta}_{odd}$. Then we have $u = (2v_1 + \delta_1, 2v_2 + \delta_2, 2v_3 + \delta_3)$, for some integers v_1, v_2 and v_3. Therefore $\mathrm{Td}(l^s tn, u) < 1$ implies

$$|l^s\alpha_1 n - \delta_1\lambda_h - 2v_1\lambda_h| + |l^s\beta_1 n - \delta_2\lambda_h - 2v_2\lambda_h| + |l^s\nu_1 n - \delta_3\lambda_h - 2v_3\lambda_h| < \lambda_h \tag{3.3}$$

Let (w_1, w_2, w_3) be the representative of $f^{\delta}_{l,n}(s)$. Then

$$(w_1, w_2, w_3) = (l^s\alpha_1 n - \delta_1\lambda_h + 2k_1\lambda_h, l^s\beta_1 n - \delta_2\lambda_h + 2k_2\lambda_h, l^s\nu_1 n - \delta_3\lambda_h + 2k_3\lambda_h),$$

for some integers k_1, k_2 and k_3. Hence by Equation (3.3),

$$|\frac{w_1}{2\lambda_h} - (v_1 + k_1)| + |\frac{w_2}{2\lambda_h} - (v_2 + k_2)| + |\frac{w_3}{2\lambda_h} - (v_3 + k_3)| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now

$$w_1 \in [0, \lambda_h) \implies v_1 + k_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\frac{w_1}{2\lambda_h} - (v_1 + k_1)| = \frac{w_1}{2\lambda_h}.$$

If $w_1 \in [\lambda_h, 2\lambda_h)$ then $v_1 + k_1 = 1$ and $|\frac{w_1}{2\lambda_h} - (v_1 + k_1)| = 1 - \frac{w_1}{2\lambda_h}$. In other words

$$w_1 \in [i\lambda_h, (i+1)\lambda_h) \implies v_1 + k_1 = i \text{ and } |\frac{w_1}{2\lambda_h} - (v_1 + k_1)| = i + (-1)^i \frac{w_1}{2\lambda_h}.$$

Similar statements hold for w_2 and w_3. Now Equation (3.3) gives

$$i + (-1)^i \frac{w_1}{2\lambda_h} + j + (-1)^j \frac{w_2}{2\lambda_h} + k + (-1)^k \frac{w_3}{2\lambda_h} < \frac{1}{2},$$

which implies $f^{\delta}_{l,n}(s) \in T_{ijk} \subset S_h$.

Conversely, let $f^{\delta}_{l,n}(s) \in S_h$ then there exists a unique T_{ijk} such that $f^{\delta}_{l,n}(s) \in T_{ijk}$. Therefore $f^{\delta}_{l,n}(s)$ is represented by $(w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that

$$2\lambda_h i + (-1)^i w_1 + 2\lambda_h j + (-1)^j w_2 + 2\lambda_h k + (-1)^k w_3 < \lambda_h. \tag{3.4}$$

Let

$$(w_1, w_2, w_3) = (l^s\alpha_1 n - \delta_1\lambda_h + 2\lambda_h k_1, l^s\beta_1 n - \delta_2\lambda_h + 2\lambda_h k_2, l^s\nu_1 n - \delta_3\lambda_h + 2\lambda_h k_3).$$

Then, by inequality (3.4), we have

$$\mathrm{Td}(l^s tn, u) = |\frac{l^s\alpha_1 n}{\lambda_h} - u_1| + |\frac{l^s\beta_1 n}{\lambda_h} - u_2| + |\frac{l^s\nu_1 n}{\lambda_h} - u_3| < 1,$$

where

$$u = (\delta_1 - 2k_1 + (-1)^i 2i,\ \delta_2 - 2k_2 + (-1)^j 2j,\ \delta_3 - 2k_3 + (-1)^k 2k) \in L^{\delta}_{odd}.$$

This also proves that $\mathrm{Td}(l^s tn) = 2(i+j+k) + (-1)^i w_1/\lambda_h + (-1)^j w_2/\lambda_h + (-1)^k w_3/\lambda_h$, which proves part (2) of the lemma and hence the lemma. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let* $h \in k[x, y, z]$ *be a regular trinomial over a field of* $\mathrm{char}\ p > 0$. *Consider the set theoretic map*

$$\Delta_{h,n} : \frac{(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*}{\{1, -1\}} \longrightarrow \left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_h}, \frac{2}{\lambda_h}, \ldots, \frac{\lambda_h - 1}{\lambda_h}\right\} \times \{0, 1, \ldots, \phi(2\lambda_h) - 1\} \bigcup \{(1, \infty)\},$$

given by $l \to (Td(l), Ds(l))$, *where* $Ds(l)) = s \geq 0$ *is the smallest integer, for which* $Td(l^s tn, u) < 1$ *has a solution for some* $u \in L_{odd}$ *and* $Td(l) := Td(l^s tn, u)$. *If there is no such* s *then* $\Delta_{h,n}(l) = (1, \infty)$.

(1) $\Delta_{h,n}$ *is a well defined map.*
(2) $\Delta_{h,n} \equiv \Delta_{h,n+2\lambda_h}$.
(3) *Either* $Ds(l) = \infty$ *or* $Ds(l) <$ *the order of the element* l *in the group* $(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$.
(4) *If* $\Delta_{h,n}(l) = (t, s)$ *for some* $s < \infty$ *and* $1 \leq s_1$ *divides* s *then* $\Delta_{h,n}(l^{s/s_1}) = (t, s_1)$. *In particular if Im* $\Delta_{h,n} \neq \{(1, \infty)\}$ *then* $(t, 1) \in$ *Im* $\Delta_{h,n}$, *for some* $t > 0$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 3.4, the inequality $\mathrm{Td}(l^s tn, u) < 1$ has a solution if and only if $f^\delta_{l,n}(s) \in S_h$, for some δ (if it does then $f^\delta_{l,n}(s) \in S_h$, for a unique δ). Hence $\mathrm{Ds}(l) = \min\{s' \mid s' \in (\bigcup_\delta \mathrm{Im}(f^\delta_{l,n})) \bigcap S_h\}$

Let

$$B = \left\{0, \frac{1}{\lambda_h}, \frac{2}{\lambda_h}, \ldots, \frac{\lambda_h - 1}{\lambda_h}\right\} \times \{0, 1, \ldots, \phi(2\lambda_h) - 1\} \bigcup \{(1, \infty)\},$$

and let $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \longrightarrow B$ be the map given by $l \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Td}(l), \mathrm{Ds}(l))$. By the definition of f^δ_{ln}, it follows that $f^\delta_{l,n}(s) = f^\delta_{l+2\lambda_h,n}(s)$, for all $s \geq 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.4 (2), $Ds(l) = Ds(l + 2\lambda_h)$ and $Td(l) = Td(l + 2\lambda_h)$. Hence the above map factors through $\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow B$. which gives a well defined map $(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow B$.

Now let $l' = 2\lambda_h - l$ then $l'^s = 2\lambda_h k + (-l)^s$, for some integer k. If s is even then $f^\delta_{l,n}(s) = f^\delta_{l',n}(s)$.

If s is odd then $l'^s = 2\lambda_h k - l^s$. Let $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in L^\delta_{odd}$ such that $\mathrm{Td}(l^s tn, u) < 1$ has a solution. Then

$$\mathrm{Td}(l^s tn, u) = |\frac{l^s \alpha_1 n}{\lambda_h} - u_1| + |\frac{l^s \beta_1 n}{\lambda_h} - u_2| + |\frac{l^s \nu_1 n}{\lambda_h} - u_3| = \mathrm{Td}(l'^s tn, u') < 1,$$

where $u' = (2k\alpha_1 n - u_1, 2k\beta_1 n - u_2, 2k\nu_1 n - u_3) \in L^\delta_{odd}$. This implies that for any $s \geq 0$, $f^\delta_{l,n}(s) \in S_h$ if and only if $f^\delta_{l',n}(s) \in S_h$ and $\mathrm{Td}(l^s tn) = \mathrm{Td}(l'^s tn)$. Hence $(Td(l), Ds(l)) = (Td(l'), Ds(l'))$. This gives the well defined map $(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*/\{1, -1\} \longrightarrow B$, which is $\Delta_{h,n}$. This proves assertion (1) of the theorem.

(2) Since $f^\delta_{l,n} \equiv f^\delta_{l,n+2\lambda_h}$, assertion (2) follows.

(3) If $Ds(l) < \infty$ then $f^\delta_{l,n}(s) \in S_h$. for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let order of l in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$ be t. We can write $s = kt + r$, for some integers k and r such that $0 \leq r < t$. Then $l^s = l^{kt} l^r = (2\lambda_h k_1 + 1) l^r$, for some $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies $f^\delta_{l,n}(s) = f^\delta_{l,n}(r)$, as $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \nu_1, \lambda_h$ are integers. Hence $Ds(l) \leq r < O(l)$. This proves the assertion (3).

(4) Note that s is the minimal integer such that $f^\delta_{l,n}(s) \in S_h$ if and only if s_1 is the minimal integer such that $f^\delta_{l^{s/s_1},n}(s_1) \in S_h$. Moreover $f^\delta_{l,n}(s) = f^\delta_{l^{s/s_1},n}(s_1)$. Therefore $\Delta_{h,n}(l^{s/s_1}) = (t, s_1)$. This proves the assertion (4) and hence the theorem. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let* $s \geq 0$ *and* $1 \leq l < 2\lambda_h$ *be integers. Then*

$$Td(l^s tn) = Td(p^s tn) \quad for \quad p \geq n \quad where \quad p \equiv \pm l \pmod{2\lambda_h}.$$

Moreover, in that case

$$Td(l^s tn) = Td((2\lambda_h - l)^s tn) = Td(l^s t(n + 2\lambda_h)) = Td(p^s tn).$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.5. □

Remark 3.7. If h is a regular trinomial as in Theorem 3.5 then for given $n \geq 1$, we can further reduce the number of such congruence classes: Let $a_n = \text{g.c.d.}(\alpha n, \beta n, \nu n, \lambda)$. Let $\lambda_{h,n} = \lambda/a_n$. Then λ_h is a multiple of $\lambda_{h,n}$. Now, respectively replacing $\alpha_1 n, \beta_1 n, \nu_1 n, \lambda_h$ by $\alpha/a_n, \beta/a_n, \nu/a_n, \lambda_{h,n}$, in Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we get the same assertions,

In particular,

$$\mathrm{Im}\ \Delta_{h,n} \subseteq \left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{h,n}}, \frac{2}{\lambda_{h,n}}, \ldots, \frac{\lambda_{h,n} - 1}{\lambda_{h,n}}\right\} \times \{0, 1, \ldots, \phi(2\lambda_{h,n}) - 1\} \bigcup \{(1, \infty)\}.$$

Remark 3.8. Given an explicit trinomial h of degree d over a field of char $p > 0$, let $p \equiv l \pmod{2\lambda_h}$ then we can compute $\Delta_{h,n}(l)$ in a effective way: Let $O(l)$ be the order of l in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$ (infact can take $O(l)$ to be the order of l in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_{h,n}\mathbb{Z})^*$), we look for the first $0 \leq s \leq O(l) - 1$, where $\mathrm{Td}(l^s tn, u) = \sum_i |l^s t_i n - u_i| < 1$ has a solution for some $u \in L_{odd}$. If there is such a solution then $\Delta_{h,n}(l) = (\sum_i |l^s t_i n - u_i|, s) = (t, s)$. Otherwise $\Delta_{h,n}(l) = (1, \infty)$.

4. Computations of some values of $\Delta_{h,n}$

We wiil see that $\Delta_{h,n}(l \bmod 2\lambda_h)$ determines the Frobenius data (Lemma 5.4) of V_n over the trinomial h, for $p \equiv \pm l \pmod{2\lambda_h}$ and also Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity (Theorem 5.3) of $k[x.y, z]/(h)$ with respect to the ideal (x^n, y^n, z^n), we compute some of them.

Theorem 4.1. *Let h be a regular trinomial then*

(1) *For $n = 1$, $\Delta_{h,n}(1 \mod 2\lambda_h) = (1, \infty)$.*
(2) *In general, for $n > 1$,*

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textit{either} \quad \Delta_{h,n}(1 \mod 2\lambda_h) & = & (0, \infty), \\ \textit{or} \quad \Delta_{h,n}(1 \mod 2\lambda_h) & = & (Td(1), 0). \end{array}$$

Proof. Since the order of the element $l = 1$ is 1 in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$. Assertion (2) follows from Theorem 3.5 (3).

To prove Assertion (1), it is enough to show that $\mathrm{Td}(t, u) < 1$ has no solution. Note that $\alpha, \beta, \nu < \lambda$. Therefore $\alpha/\lambda, \beta/\lambda, \nu/\lambda < 1$.

Let $u \in L_{odd}$ be a solution for $\mathrm{Td}(t, u) < 1$. Then u_1 odd implies $u_1 = 1$ which implies $|\alpha/\lambda - u_1| = 1 - \alpha/\lambda$, and u_1 even implies $u_1 = 0$ and $|\alpha/\lambda - u_1| = \alpha/\lambda$.

(i) Suppose only one of the $u_i's$ is odd. Without loss of generality we assume that u_1 is odd then u_2 and u_3 are even. Now $\mathrm{Td}(t, u) = 1 - \alpha/\lambda + \beta/\lambda + \nu/\lambda < 1$ if and only if $\beta + \nu < \alpha$, which contradicts Lemma 2.5 (1).

(ii) Suppose u_1, u_2 and u_3 are all odd. Then $\mathrm{Td}(t, u) = 1 - \alpha/\lambda + 1 - \beta/\lambda + 1 - \nu/\lambda < 1$ implies $2\lambda < \alpha + \beta + \nu$, which is not true by Lemma 2.5 (2). This prove that $\mathrm{Td}(t, u) < 1$ has no solution for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in L_{odd}$. Hence $\Delta_{h,n}(1) = (1, \infty)$. This proves (1). □

4.1. Some Computations of $\Delta_{h,n}$ for symmetric trinomial curves.

Definition 4.2. A trinomial curve h of degree d is *symmetric* if $h = x^{a_1}y^{a_2} + y^{a_1}z^{a_2} + z^{a_1}x^{a_2}$.

Remark 4.3. A trinomial curve is symmetric if and only if $\alpha = \beta = \nu$. One can easily check that if $\mathrm{Td}(l^s tn, u) < 1$ has a solution for some $(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in L_{odd}$ then $u_1 = u_2 = u_3$ and u_1 is odd.

Corollary 4.4. *Let h be a symmetric curve of degree d. For a given $n \geq 1$, we have*

(1)

$$\Delta_{h,n}(1 \ mod\ 2\lambda_h) = (Td(1), Ds(1)) = \left(3|m_1 - \frac{\alpha n}{\lambda}|,\ 0\right), \quad \textit{if} \quad |m_1 - \frac{\alpha n}{\lambda}| < 1/3,$$

(2)

$$\Delta_{h,n}(1 \mod 2\lambda_h) = (0,\ \infty) \quad \textit{otherwise},$$

where m_1 is one of the nearest odd integer to $\alpha n/\lambda$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, it is enough to compute $\mathrm{Td}(tn, u)$.

If $\alpha n/\lambda$ is an even integer then one of the $u_i's$, say u_1, is equal to $\alpha n/\lambda \pm 1$, which implies $\mathrm{Td}(tn) \geq 1$. So $Ds(1) = \infty$. On the other hand for any nearest odd integer m_1 to $\alpha n/\lambda$, we have $|m_1 - \frac{\alpha n}{\lambda}| \geq 1$. This proves the corollary for when $\alpha n/\lambda$ is an even integer.

Therefore we can assume that $\alpha n/\lambda$ is not an even integer, and m_1 is the unique nearest odd integer m_1.

Let $u = (u_1, u_1, u_1) \in L_{odd}$ be a solution for $\mathrm{Td}(tn, u) < 1$ then $u_1 = m_1$ and hence $\mathrm{Td}(tn, u) = 3|m_1 - \alpha n/\lambda|$, which is < 1 if and only if $|m_1 - \alpha n/\lambda| < 1/3$.

This implies $\Delta_{h,n}(1 \bmod 2\lambda_h) = (3|m_1 - \alpha n/\lambda|,\ 0)$ if $|m_1 - \alpha n/\lambda| < 1/3$. Otherwise $\Delta_{h,n}(1) = (1.\ \infty)$. □

Theorem 4.5. *Let h be a symmetric curve of degree $d \geq 4$ and $d \neq 5$. Then there is $l' \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $\Delta_{h,1}(l') \neq (1,\infty)$. In fact there is $l' \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta_{h,1}(l') & = (6/\lambda_h, 1) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd} \\ \Delta_{h,1}(l') & = (3/\lambda_h, 1) & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } \lambda_h \text{ is even} \\ \Delta_{h,1}(l') & = (t, m) & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } \lambda_h \text{ is odd,} \end{array}$$

where $1 \leq m < \infty$ and (t, m) is given as in Lemma 4.6.

Proof. (1) Note that d odd implies α, λ and hence α_1, λ_h are both odd. Since g.c.d$(\alpha_1, 2\lambda_h) = 1$, the map $(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^* \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$ given by $l \bmod 2\lambda_h \mapsto l\alpha_1 \bmod 2\lambda_h$ is bijetive.

Let $l = \lambda_h + 2$ then there is $l' \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $l = l'\alpha_1 \pmod{2\lambda_h}$. If $\lambda_h > 6$ then $\Delta_{h,n}(l') = \left(3|\frac{\lambda_h+2}{\lambda_h} - 1|, 1\right)$.

If $d > 12$ then $\lambda_h \geq (a_1 - a_2) + a_1a_2/(a_1 - a_2) > 6$. One can check that for $d =, 7, 9, 11$ also $\lambda_h > 6$. In particular $\Delta_{h,1}(l') = (6/\lambda_h, 1)$, if $d > 5$ is odd.

(2) (a) If d and λ_h are even then α_1 is odd, which implies g.c.d$(\alpha_1, 2\lambda_h) = 1$. Let $l = \lambda_h + 1$ then there is $l' \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $l = l'\alpha_1 \pmod{2\lambda_h}$. If $\lambda_h > 3$ (which holds for $d \geq 4$) then $3|l'\alpha/\lambda - 1| = 3/\lambda_h < 1$. Therefore $\Delta_{h,n}(l') = (3/\lambda_h, 1)$.

(2) (b) Let d be even and λ_h be odd. In Lemma 4.6 for $n = 1$ we have $m_1 = 1$, which implies $|\alpha/\lambda - 1| > 1/3$. In particular, there is $1 \leq m\infty$ such that $\Delta_{h,1}(\lambda_h \pm 2) = (t, m) \neq (1, \infty)$. This proves the theorem. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let h be a symmetric trinomial of even degree such that λ_h is odd. Let m_1 denote a nearest odd integer to $\alpha n/\lambda$. Then the number*

$$|m_1 - \alpha n/\lambda| \in \{1\} \bigcup \left(0,\ \frac{1}{3}\right] \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{4}{3.2^m},\ 1 - \frac{2}{3.2^m}\right), \quad \text{and}$$

(1)

$$|m_1 - \frac{\alpha n}{\lambda}| = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{3} \implies \Delta_{h,n}(\lambda_h \pm 2) = (1, \infty).$$

(2)

$$|\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1| \in \left(0,\ \frac{1}{3}\right) \implies \Delta_{h,n}(\lambda_h \pm 2) = \left(3|\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1|, \quad 0\right).$$

(3) *If $m \geq 1$ then*

$$|\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1| \in \left(1 - \frac{4}{3.2^m},\ 1 - \frac{2}{3.2^m}\right) \implies \Delta_{h,n}(\lambda_h \pm 2) = (3.2^m \left||\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1| - (1 - \frac{1}{2^m})\right|,\ m).$$

Proof. Assertions (1) and (2) can be easily checked. Assertion (3) can be checked by dividing it into two cases:

$$(1) \quad |\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1| \in \left(1 - \frac{4}{3.2^m},\ 1 - \frac{1}{2^m}\right] \quad \text{and} \quad (2) \quad |\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1| \in \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^m},\ 1 - \frac{2}{3.2^m}\right).$$

□

Corollary 4.7. *Let h be symmetric trinomial of degree $d \geq 4$. If for $l \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda\mathbb{Z})^*$ there is an integer $s \geq 0$ such that*

$$3l^s/4 \leq \lambda/\alpha < 3l^s/2 \quad \text{then} \quad \Delta_{h,1}(l) = (3|l^s\alpha/\lambda - 1|, s).$$

In particular if $h = x^{d-1}y + y^{d-1}z + z^{d-1}x$, where $d \geq 4$.

(1) *Suppose d is an even integer. Then (such an $m \geq 2$ always exists)*

$$3.2^{m-2} \leq d - 1 < 3 \cdot 2^{m-1} \implies \Delta_{h,1}(\lambda \pm 2) = (3|1 - 2^m\alpha/\lambda|,\ \{m\}).$$

(2) *Suppose d is an odd integer then*

$$d = 3 \implies \Delta_{h,1}(\lambda \pm 2) = (1, \infty).$$

$$d = 5 \implies \Delta_{h,1}(\lambda \pm 2) = \left(\frac{6}{\lambda},\ \{3\}\right).$$

$$d \geq 7 \implies \Delta_{h,1}(\lambda \pm 2) = \left(\frac{6\alpha}{\lambda},\ \{1\}\right).$$

Proof. First part of the corollary can be checked by considering two case (1) $3l^s/4 \leq \lambda/\alpha < l^s$ and (2) $l^s \leq \lambda/\alpha < 3l^s/2$.

For the second part note that d even implies $\alpha = d-2$ even and $\lambda = (d-1)(d-2)+1$ odd. Hence $\lambda \pm 2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda\mathbb{Z})^*$. Now $\mathrm{Td}(\lambda \pm 2)^s t, u) < 1$ has a solution for some $u \in L_{odd}$ iff $\mathrm{Td}(\pm 2)^s t, u') < 1$ has a solution for some $u' \in L_{odd}$, as $(\lambda \pm 2)^s \alpha/\lambda \in 2^s\alpha/\lambda + 2\mathbb{Z}$. Also

$$3.2^{m-2} \leq d-1 < 3 \cdot 2^{m-1} \iff (3/4)2^m \leq d-1 < (3/2)2^m.$$

Hence the assertion follows from the first part of the corollary.

Now if d is odd then for any $s \geq 0$, we have $(\lambda \pm 2)^s/\lambda = \text{odd integer} + (\pm 2)^s\alpha/\lambda$ as λ and α are both odd. Now it is easy to check the rest. □

5. SEMISTABILITY OF SYZYGY BUNDLES

Let $C = \mathrm{Proj}\ \mathrm{R}$, where R is an irreducible plane curve given by a homogeneous polynomial h of degree d over a field of characteristic p. Let $\pi : X \longrightarrow C$ be the normalization of C. Consider the canonical sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow W_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the third map is given by $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \mapsto (s_1x^n, s_2y^n, s_3z^n)$.

We recall the following Theorem 5.3 of [T1],

Theorem 5.1. *Let C be an irreducible curve of degree $d \geq 4$. Let $\pi : X \longrightarrow C$ be the normalization of C. Consider the canonical sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow W_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then

(1) *either $e_{HK}(R,(x,y,z)) = 3d/4$ and $V_1 = \pi^*W_1$ is strongly semistable, or*
(2)

$$e_{HK}(R,(x,y,z)) = \frac{3d}{4} + \frac{(\widetilde{l})^2}{4dp^{2s}},$$

where $\widetilde{l}$ is an integer such that $0 < \widetilde{l} \leq d(d-3)$ and $s \geq 0$ is the least number such that $F^{s*}(V_1)$ is not semistable. Moreover, for the HN filtration of

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L} \subset F^{s*}(V_1), \quad \mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^{s*}(V_1)) + \frac{\widetilde{l}}{2}.$$

Remark 5.2. If V_1 is replaced by V_n, then the same argument (see Lemma 4.7 and Corollary 4.11 of [T1],to justify the appearance of n^2 in the expression) shows that

$$e_{HK}(R, x^n, y^n, z^n) = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{(\widetilde{l})^2}{4dp^{2s}}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $0 \leq \widetilde{l} \leq d(d-3)$ and s is the least integer for which $F^{s*}V_n$ is not semistable.

As we pointed out in [T1], the bound on $\widetilde{l}$ in terms of d (which was obtained in [T1], using result from [SB] and [S]), gave a dictionary between s and $\widetilde{l}$ and e_{HK} (although for $p > d(d-3)$.

For example in 1993 Hans-Monsky [HM] have explicity compute e_{HK} for the plane curve $h = z^4 + y^4 + z^4$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{HK}(k[x,y,z]/(h),(x,y,z)) &= 3 + (1/p^2) \text{ if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8 \\ &= 3 \text{ if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8 \end{aligned}.$$

Now, by Theorem 5.1, it is immediate that, for $p \geq 5$, $\widetilde{l} = 4$ and $s = 1$, for $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 8$. This means V is semistable but F^*V is not semistable. On the other hand, it says that V_1 is strongly semistable if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $R = k[x,y,z]/(h)$, where h is a regular trinomial of degree d and k is a field of characteristic $p > 0$. If $p \geq n$ and $p \equiv \pm l \pmod{2\lambda_h}$ then*

$$e_{HK}(R,(x^n,y^n,z^n)) = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4d}\left[\frac{1-t}{p^s}\right]^2,$$

where $\Delta_{h,n}(l) = (Td(l), Ds(l)) = (t,s)$ is as given in Definition 2.2.

Proof. If $p \geq n$, then by Lemma 2.5 (3), $\mathrm{Td}(p^s tn, u) < 1$ has no solution for any $s < 0$. Hence the minimum integer s, for which $\mathrm{Td}(p^s tn, u) < 1$ has a solution for some $u \in L_{odd}$, is nonnegative. Therefore, by Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6,

$$\delta^*(\alpha n/\lambda, \beta n/\lambda, \nu n/\lambda) = p^{-s}(1-t),$$

where $\delta^*(\alpha n/\lambda, \beta n/\lambda, \nu n/\lambda)$ is given as in Theorem 2.3. Now the theorem follows from Theorem 2.3. □

The following Lemma explicitly relates $\Delta_{h,n}(l \bmod 2\lambda_h)$ and the Frobenius semistability data of the syzygy bundle V_n over h, for the set of primes $p \equiv \pm l \pmod{2\lambda_h}$, where $p \geq \{n, d^2\}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $R = k[x,y,z]/(h)$, where h is a regular trinomial of degree d over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $p \geq \{n, d^2\}$ and let $p \equiv \pm l \pmod{2\lambda_h}$. For $\Delta_{h,n}$ as in Theorem 3.5,*

1. *If $\Delta_{h,n}(l) = (1,\infty)$ then V_n is a strongly semistable bundle.*
2. *if $\Delta_{h,n}(l) = (t,s) \neq (1,\infty)$ then s is the least integer for which $F^{s*}V_n$ is not semistable. Moreover $F^{s*}(V_n)$ has the HN filtration*

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L}_n \subset F^{s*}(V_n), \qquad \text{where} \qquad \deg\ \mathcal{L}_n = \mu(F^{s*}V_n) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(1-t).$$

Proof. (1) If $\Delta_{h,n}(l) = (1,\infty)$, then $e_{HK}(R,(x^n,y^n,z^n)) = 3dn^2/4$ and therefore V_n is strongly semistable.

(2) Let $\Delta_{h,n}(l) = (t,s) \neq (1,\infty)$. By Theorem 5.3 and Equation (5.1), we have

$$e_{HK}(R,(x^n,y^n,z^n)) = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4d}\left[\frac{(1-t)}{p^s}\right]^2 = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{\widetilde{l}^2}{4dp^{2s_1}},$$

where $0 \leq \widetilde{l} \leq d(d-3)$ and $s_1 \geq 0$ is the least integer for which $F^{s_1*}V_n$ is not semistable. Note, by Lemma 2.5, the integer $s \geq 0$. This implies that

$$\frac{\widetilde{l}}{p^{s_1}} = \frac{\lambda}{p^s}(1-t).$$

Let $(u_1,u_2,u_3) \in L_{odd}$ such that $t = \mathrm{Td}(p^s tn, u) < 1$. Therefore $0 < \lambda(1-t) < \lambda$. On the other hand

$$\lambda(1-t) = a\lambda_h(1-t) = a\left(\lambda_h - |p^s\alpha_1 n - \lambda_h u_1| - |p^s\beta_1 n - \lambda_h u_2| - |p^s\nu_1 n - \lambda_h u_3|\right) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This implies $\lambda(1-t) \leq \lambda$ is a positive integer. This with the fact that $0 \leq \widetilde{l} \leq d(d-3)$ implies that, for $p \geq d^2$, we have $s_1 = s$ and hence $\widetilde{l} = \lambda(1-t)$. This proves the lemma. □

Racall that a trinomial curve is irregular or regular. For the irregular trinomials the semistability behaviour is very explicit and independent of the char p as stated in Theorem 1.1, a proof of which is along the same line as in Theorem 4.9 of [T2].

In the light of Lemma 5.4, all the results in this section are immediate consequence of the results of the previous sections.

Following result gives the periodicity in the behaviour of $\{V_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ where V_n are syzygy bundles on a fixed trinomal h.

Theorem 5.5. *For a regular trinomial defined over a field of characteristic p, if $p \geq n + 2\lambda_h$. then for any $s \geq 0$,*

1. *the bundle $F^{s*}V_n$ is semistable if and only if $F^{s*}V_{n+2\lambda_h}$ is semistable. Moreover,*
2. *$F^{s*}V_n$ has the HN filtration $0 \subset \mathcal{L}_n \subset F^{s*}V_n$ if and only if $F^{s*}V_{n+2\lambda_h}$ has the HN filtration $0 \subset \mathcal{L}_{n+2\lambda_h} \subset F^{s*}V_{n+2\lambda_h}$ and in that case we have $\deg \mathcal{L}_{n+2\lambda_h} = \deg \mathcal{L}_n - 3\lambda_h dp^s$.*

Proof. Follows from Corollary 3.6. □

Following theorem implies that every semistable bundle V_n over a trinomial is strongly semistable for a Zariski dense set of primes.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $R = k[x, y, z]/(h)$ be a regular trinomial, where k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2\lambda_h}$ and $p \geq d^2$ then*

1. *V_1 is strongly semistable and*
2. *if, in addition, $p \geq n$ then*
   (a) *either V_n is strongly semistable or*
   (b) *V_n itself is not semistable and has the HN filtration*

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L}_n \subset \pi^*(V_n) \text{ where } \deg \mathcal{L}_n = -\frac{3nd}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}(1-t),$$

where $t = |\alpha n/\lambda - u_1| + |\beta n/\lambda - u_2| + |\nu n/\lambda - u_3| < 1$ for a unique $(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in L_{odd}$.

Corollary 5.7. *If $V_{n_1}, \ldots, V_{n_s}$ are semistable syzygy bundles on trinomials $h_1, \ldots, h_s$ respectively then they are all strongly semistable for primes p in a Zariski dense set*

Proof. Let $\lambda_h = 1$ if h is an irregular trinomial. If $\widetilde{\lambda} = \text{l.c.m.}(\lambda_{h_1}, \ldots, \lambda_{h_s})$ then for $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2\widetilde{\lambda}}$ the assertion holds. □

Following theorem asserts that to check the strong semistability property of a syzygy bundle V_n over a trinoimal h, it is sufficient to check the semistability of $V_n, F^*V_n, \ldots, F^{s*}V_n$, where $s < \phi(2\lambda_h)$.

Theorem 5.8. *If $p \geq \max\{n, d^2\}$, then either*

1. (a) *V_n is strongly semistable or*
   (b) *there is $s < \phi(2\lambda_h)$ such that $F^{s*}(V_n)$ is not semistable.*
   In fact if $p \equiv \pm l \pmod{2\lambda_h}$ then $F^{s*}(V_n)$ is not semistable for some $s <$ order of l in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$
2. *If there is a prime $p \geq \max\{n, d^2\}$ such that V_n is not strongly semistable then there is a Zariski dense set for which F^*V_n is not semistable.*

Proof. Part (1) (a) and (b) follow from Theorem 3.5 (3). For part (2) suppose $p \geq \max\{n, d^2\}$ such that V_n is not strongly semistable. There is $l \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $p \equiv \pm l \pmod{2\lambda_h}$. By Corollary 3.6, we have $\Delta_{h,n}(l) = (t, s) \neq (1, \infty)$. Therefore there exists $u \in L_{odd}$ such that $\text{Td}(l^s tn, u) < 1$. Now $l^s \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $\Delta_{h,n}(l^s) = (t, 1)$. Therefore for $p \equiv \pm l^s \pmod{2\lambda_h}$, the bundle $F^*(V_n)$ is not semistable. □

By the following corollary it is trivial to check if a syzygy bundle V_n, of a symmetric (see Definition 4.2) regular trinomial, is semistable or not.

Corollary 5.9. *Let $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2\lambda_h}$ and $p \geq \max\{n, d^2\}$ and let h be a symmetric trinomial of degree d. Let m_1 denote any of the nearest odd integer to $\alpha n/\lambda$.*

(1) *If $|\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1| \geq \frac{1}{3}$ then V_n is semistable (and hence strongly semistable), and*
(2) *if $|m_1 - \alpha n/\lambda| < \frac{1}{3}$, then V_n is not semistable and has the HN filtration*

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L}_n \subset V_n \text{ where } \deg \mathcal{L}_n = -\frac{3nd}{2} + \frac{3\lambda}{2}\left[\frac{1}{3} - |\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1|\right].$$

Corollary 5.10. *Let h be a symmetric trinomial of degree $d \geq 4$ but $d \neq 5$ then, for $p > d^2$,*

(1) *V_1 is strongly semistable for a Zariski dense set of primes and*
(2) *V_1 is semistable but not strongly semistable for a Zariski dense set of primes.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 4.1 (1) and Theorem 4.5. □

Corollary 5.11. *Let X be the plane curve given by $h = x^{d-1}y + y^{d-1}z + z^{d-1}x$ where k is a field of characteristic $p \geq d^2$. Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0,$$

be the canonical map.

(1) *If $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{\lambda}$. Then V is strongly semistable.*
(2) *If $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{\lambda}$, d is even and*
   (a) *If $d = 4$ then F^*V is semistable and the HN filtration of $F^{2*}V$ is given by*

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L} \subset F^{2*}(V) \text{ with } \mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^{2*}V) + 2$$

   (b) *If $d \geq 6$ and $m \geq 2$ such that (1) $3.2^{m-2} \leq d-1 < 2^m$ then $F^{m-1*}V$ is semistable and the HN filtration of $F^{m*}V$ is given by*

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L} \subset F^{m*}(V) \text{ with } \mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^{m*}V) + 2\alpha(d - 1 - 3.2^{m-2}) + 2,$$

   (2) if $2^m \leq d - 1 < 3.2^{m-1}$ then $F^{m-1*}V$ is semistable and the HN filtration of $F^{m*}V$ is given by

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L} \subset F^{m*}(V) \text{ with } \mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^{m*}V) + \alpha(3.2^{m-1} - (d-1)) - 1.$$

(3) *If $p \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{\lambda}$ and d is odd then*
   (a) *for $d \geq 7$, the bundle V is semistable and for the HN filtration $0 \subset \mathcal{L} \subset F^*V$, we have*

$$\mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^*V) + \left(\frac{\lambda - 6\alpha}{2}\right).$$

   (b) *If $d = 5$, $F^{2*}V$ is semistable and the HN filtration $0 \subset \mathcal{L} \subset F^{3*}V$, we have*

$$\mu(\mathcal{L}) = \mu(F^{3*}V) + \frac{7}{2}.$$

Proof. Follows from Corollary 4.7. □

6. Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity

Throughout this section $R = k[x, y, z]/(h)$, where h is trinomial of degree d and k is a field of char $k = p > 0$.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $R = k[x, y, z]/(h)$, where h is a trinomial of degree d. Let $n \geq 1$.*

(1) *If h is a irregular trinomial then*

$$e_{HK}(R, (x^n, y^n, z^n) = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{(2r - d)^2 n^2}{4d},$$

where r is the multiplicity of the irregular point.

(2) *If h is a regular trinomial then*

$$e_{HK}(R,(x^n,y^n,z^n)) = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4dp^{2s}}(1-t)^2,$$

where $\lambda(1-t) \leq \lambda$ is a nonnegative integer and $0 \leq s < \phi(2\lambda_h)$ and t and s are constant on the congreunce classes of p mod $(2\lambda_h)$.

Corollary 6.2. *If h is a regular trinomial then*

(1) *for all $p \geq n + 2\lambda_h$,*

$$e_{HK}(R,(x^{n+2\lambda_h},y^{n+2\lambda_h},z^{n+2\lambda_h})) = e_{HK}(R,(x^n,y^n,z^n)) + 3d(n\lambda_h+1).$$

(2) *if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2\lambda_h}$ then we have*

$$e_{HK}(R,(x,y,z)) = 3d/4,$$

(a)

$$e_{HK}(R,(x^n,y^n,z^n)) = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4d}(1-t)^2,$$

if $t = |\alpha n/\lambda - u_1| + |\beta n/\lambda - u_2| + |\nu n/\lambda - u_3| < 1$ has a solution for (unique) $(u_1,u_2,u_3) \in L_{odd}$, otherwise

(b)

$$e_{HK}(R,(x^n,y^n,z^n)) = \frac{3dn^2}{4}.$$

Proof. Part (1) follows from Corollary 3.6. Part (2) follows Theorem 4.1. □

Corollary 6.3. *Let h be symmetric trinomial of degree d. Let m_1 be one of the nearest odd integer to $\alpha n/\lambda$.*

(1) *Let $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{2\lambda_h}$ then*

(a)

$$|\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1| \geq \frac{1}{3} \implies e_{HK}(R,\ (x^n,y^n,z^n)) = \frac{3dn^2}{4},$$

(b)

$$|\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1| < \frac{1}{3} \implies e_{HK}(R_p,\ (x^n,y^n,z^n)) = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{9\lambda^2}{4d}\left[\frac{1}{3} - |\frac{\alpha n}{\lambda} - m_1|\right]^2.$$

(2) *If d is odd and > 5 then there is $l' \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\lambda_h\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that for $p \equiv \pm l' \pmod{2\lambda_h}$*

$$e_{HK}(R,\ (x,y,z)) = \frac{3d}{4} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4dp^2}\left[1 - \frac{6}{\lambda_h}\right]^2.$$

(3) *If $d \geq 4$ is even such that*

(a) *λ_h is even then $e_{HK}(R,\ (x,y,z)) = \frac{3d}{4} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4dp^2}\left[1 - \frac{3}{\lambda_h}\right]^2$.*

(b) *If λ_h is odd. Then $e_{HK}(R_p,(x^n,y^n,z^n)) = \frac{3dn^2}{4} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4d}\left[\frac{1-t}{p^s}\right]^2$, where $\Delta_{h,n}(\lambda \pm 2) = (t,s) \neq (1,\infty)$ (hence $0 < t < 1$ and $0 \leq s < \infty$) is given as in Lemma 4.6.*

Corollary 6.4. *Let $p \equiv \lambda \pm 2 \pmod{2\lambda}$ and let $h = x^{d-1}y + y^{d-1}z + z^{d-1}x$, where $d \geq 4$ (in this case $\lambda = \lambda_h$).*

(1) *Suppose d is an even integer.*

$$d = 4 \implies e_{HK}(R,(x,y,z)) = 3 + \frac{7}{p^4}.$$

Let $d \geq 6$. Let $m \geq 2$ such that $3.2^{m-2} < d - 1 < 2^m$. Then

$$e_{HK}(R,(x,y,z)) = \frac{3d}{4} + \frac{4}{dp^{2m}}\left[\alpha\left(d - 1 - 3.2^{m-2}\right) + 1\right]^2.$$

If $2^m < d-1 < 3\cdot 2^{m-1}$. *Then*

$$e_{HK}(R,(x,y,z)) = \frac{3d}{4} + \frac{1}{dp^{2m}}\left[\alpha\left(3.2^{m-1} - (d-1)\right) - 1\right]^2.$$

(2) *Suppose* d *is odd then*

$$d = 5 \implies e_{HK}(R,(x,y,z)) = \frac{3d}{4} + \frac{1}{dp^6}\left[\frac{49}{4}\right]^2.$$

$$d \geq 7 \implies e_{HK}(R,(x,y,z)) = \frac{3d}{4} + \frac{1}{4dp^2}\left[(d-2)(d-7)+1\right]^2$$

Remark 6.5. If h is a regular trinomai of degree $d = 3$ then it is an elliptic plane curve. Note that e_{HK} with respect to the maximal ideal was first computed in [BC] and [Mo3]. Also on an elliptic curve every semstable bundle is strongly semistable by [MR] (Theorem 2.1).

Remark 6.6. For R as in Corollary 6.4, Monsky in [M1] had computed e_{HK} in the following situation:

(1) If $d \geq 4$ is even and $p \equiv \pm(d-1) \pmod{2\lambda}$ then

$$e_{HK}(R) = \frac{3d}{4} + \frac{(d^2-3d)^2}{4dp^2}.$$

(2) If $d \geq 5$ is odd and $p \equiv \lambda \pm (2d-2) \pmod{2\lambda}$, $p \neq 2$ then

$$e_{HK}(R) = \frac{3d}{4} + \frac{(d^2-3d-3)^2}{4dp^2}.$$

References

[BK] Brinkmann, D., Kaid, A., *Rank-2 syzygy bundles on Fermat curves and an application to Hilbert-Kunz functions*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. 57 (2016), no. 2, 321342.

[BC] Buchweitz,R., Chen,Q., *Hilbert-Kunz functions of cubic curves and surfaces,* J. Algebra 197 (1997) 246-167.

[H] Han, C., *The Hilbert-Kunz function of a diagonal hypersurfaces*, Ph.D. thesis, Brandeis University, 1991.

[HM] Han, C., Monsky, P., *Some surprising Hilbert-Kunz functions*, Math. Z., 214 (1993), no. 1, 119-135.

[L] Langer, A., *Semistable sheaves in positive characteristic*, Ann. Math. 159 (2004).

[Mar] Maruyama, M., *Openness of a family of torsion free sheaves*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 16-3 (1976), 627-637.

[MR] Mehta, V., Ramanathan, A., *Homogeneous bundles in characteristic p*, in *Algebraic geometry – open problems (Ravello, 1982)*, Lecture Notes in Math., 997, Springer, Berlin, 1983, 315 - 320.

[Mo1] Monsky, P., *The Hilbert-Kunz function*, Math. Ann. 263 (1983) 43-49.

[Mo2] Monsky, P., *The Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of an irreducible trinomial*, Journal of Algebra 304 (2006) 1101-1107.

[Mo3] Monsky, P., *The Hilbert-Kunz function of a characteristic 2 cubic*, J. Algebra 197 1997, 268-277

[SB] Shepherd-Barron, N.I., *Semistability and reduction mod p*, Topology, 37 (1998), no. 3, 659-664.

[S] Sun, X., *Remarks on Semistability of G-Bundles in Positive Characteristic*, Composition Mathematica, Vol. 119, (1999), 41-52.

[T1] Trivedi, V., *Semistability and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity for curves*, J. of Algebra, 284 (2005), 627-644, (arXiv:math/0402245v2 [math.AC] 21 Feb 2004).

[T2] Trivedi, V., *Strong semistability and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity for singular plane curves*, Contemp. Math., 390, Amer.Math.Soc. 2005, 165-173.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai-400005, India

E-mail address: vija@math.tifr.res.in